RESOLUTION NO. 86-138

RESOLUTION APPROVING AMENDED 1985-86 TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby approve the amended 1985-86 Transit Assistance Claim, a copy of which is attached hereto, identified as Exhibit "A" and thereby made a part hereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Lodi does hereby authorize the City Manager to execute the subject documents on behalf of the City of Lodi.

Dated: September 3, 1986

I hereby certify that Resolution No. 86-138 was passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Lodi in a Regular Meeting held September 3, 1986 by the following vote:

Ayes: Council Members - Hinchman, Olson, Pinkerton, and Reid

(Mayor)

Noes: Council Members - None

Absent: Council Members - Snider

Abstain: Council Members - None

Jun Th Bernede ALICE M. REIMCHE City Clerk

86-138

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

TO: San Joaquin County Counci 1860 East Hazelton Avenue Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM: Applicant: City of Lod	li
Address: P.O. Box 30 (City, Zip)	06, Lodi, CA, 95241-1910
Contact Person: Sharon	Phone: 333-6706
regulations, that its annual t	hereby requests, in accordance 1971 and applicable rules and transportation claim be approved in for fiscal year 1985-86, to be ation Fund.
for payment. Approval of the Auditor to this applicant is s and available for distribution	t this claim to the County Auditor e claim and payment by the County ubject to such monies being on hand n, and to the provisions that such accordance with the terms of the
and the financial information and accurate to the best aforementioned information in	his Local Transportation Fund claim contained therein, is reasonable of my knowledge, and that the adicates the eligibility of this fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPROVED:	Applicant: City of Lodi
San Joaquin County Council of Governments	By: Assistant City Manager Title: For: City Manager Tom Peterson
By: PETER D. VERDOORN	Date: 9/4 1986
Title: Executive Director	
Date:19	

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE CLAIM

TO: San Joaquin County Counc 1860 East Hazelton Avenu Stockton, CA 95205	
FROM: Applicant: City of	Lodi
Address: P.O. Box (City, Zip)	3006, Lodi, CA, 95241-1910
Contact Person: Sharo	n Blaufus Phone: 333-6706
the Public Utilities Code, Chapter 1400, Statutes of 19 and regulations, that an al \$ 93,859 for fiscal y State Transit Assistance trus	suant to Section 99203 and 99315 of hereby requests, in accordance with 71 as amended, and applicable rules location be made in the amount of year 1985-86, to be drawn from the st fund of San Joaquin County for the following respective amounts:
Purposes	Amounts
Dial-A-Ride Services	\$93,859
claimant are subject to such for distribution, and to the used only in accordance with The claimant certifies that Claim and the financial reasonable and accurate to the aforementioned information	ayment by the County Auditor to this monies being on hand and available provisions that such monies will be the terms of the approved claim. this State Transit Assistance Fund information contained herein, is me best of my knowledge, and that the indicates the eligibility of this e fiscal year of the application and 6734.
APPROVED: San Joaquin County Council of Governments By: PETER D. VERDOORN	Applicant: <u>City of Lodi</u> By: <u>Olum</u> Assistant City Manager Title: <u>For: City Manager Tom Pe</u> terson Date: <u>9-Y</u> 1986
Title: Executive Director Date: 19	
pate:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT APPORTIONMENTS

I.	Loc	al Transportation Fund Available Apportio	nment
	Α.	Area Apportionment 1985-86	\$ 677.071
	В.	Pedestrian/Bicycle Apportionment	14,023
	С.	Previous Years' Unclaimed Apportionment	60,607
	D.	Unexpended Carryover	341.350
	E.	Total Available for 1985-86 Claim(s)	1,093,051
	F.	less any LTF Already Claimed 1985-86	1,093,051
	G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM	\$
II.	Sta	te Transit Assistance Fund Available Appo	rtionment
	Α.	Area Apportionment 1985-86	\$ 62,485
	В.	Special Transit Apportionment 1985-86	789
	С.	Apportionment	20,724
	D.	Unexpended Carryover	9,861
	Ē.	Total Available for 1985-86 Claim(s)	93,859
	F.	less any STA Already Claimed 1985-86	73,135
	G.	TOTAL AVAILABLE FOR THIS CLAIM	\$ 20.724

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATIONS

2.1.

Claim	Purpose	I. LTF I	I. STA*
I.	Public Transportation		
	Article 4 (99260)-Operator	* 119,498	93,859
	Article 8 (99400(c))-Contractor		
II.	Pedestrian and Bicycle		•
	Article 3 (99234)		N/A
	Article 8 (99400(a))	38,565	
 TTT	Roads and Streets		
111.			
	Article 8 (99400(a))	934,988	
IV.	Other		,
	Article 8 (99400(b) or 99400(d))		N/A
	TOTAL THIS CLAIM	1,093,051	93,859
* \$47,	TOTAL THIS CLAIM 971 is being reprogrammed from Streets		
<u>* \$47,</u>			
<u>* \$47,</u>		& Roads to Trans	t
* <u>\$47</u> ,	971 is being reprogrammed from Streets	& Roads to Trans	93,859

IMPORTANT: Please identify any unexpended carryover included
in the amounts being claimed above.

^{*}This will automatically be classified as Article 6.5 (99313.3) for purposes of the Act.

PART I - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Please Circle either: Article 4 operator or Article 8 contractor

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ı.	OPERATING REVENUE	1984-85 Actual axxxxxixmexte*	1985-86 Budget Revised
401	Passenger Fares	30,539	37.853
402	Special Transit Fares (Woodbridge)		
403	School Bus Service Revenues		
404	Freight Tariffs		
405	Charter Service Revenues		
406	Auxilliary Transportation Revenues	3	
407	Non-Transportation Revenues		
408	Taxes Levied Directly by Transit System (Specify)		
409	Local Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) Local Transportation Fu		79,319
410	Local Special Fare Assistance		
411	State Cash Grants & Reimbursements (Specify) State Transit Assistance Fund (STA)		93,859
412	State Special Fare Assistance		
413	Federal Cash Grants & Reimbursemer (Specify) UMTA Grants	nts	
430	Contributed Services		
440	(Specify) Interest		271
	TOTAL	192,839	211,302
II.	CAPITAL REVENUE		
464	Federal Capital Grants & Subsidies (Specify)	5	
	State Capital Grants & Subventions (Specify) State Transit Assistance Funds (STA)		
	Local Capital Provisions (Specify) Local Transportation Fund (LTF)	26,000	40.179
	Non-Governmental Donations		
	TOTAL	26,000	40,179

III.	OPERATING EXPENSES	1984-85 Actual xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	1985-86 Budget Revised
5Ø1	Labor		
	Operators Salaries/Wages	159	85
	Other Salaries/Wages	58	31
502	Fringe Benefits		
5Ø3	Services		
504	Materials/Supplies		
	Fuels/Lubricants		
	Tires/Tubes		
	Other Vehicle & Radio Repair		1,693
505	Utilities		
5Ø6	Casualty/Liability Costs	6,000	4.004
5Ø7	Taxes	745	
5Ø8	Purchased Transportation Service	175.293	204,780
5Ø9	Miscellaneous Expenses	723	709
510	Expense Transfers		-
511	Interest Expense		
512	Leases and Rentals		
513	Depreciation/Amortization		
	Operator Funds		
	Grant Funds		
	TOTAL	182,978	_211,302
IV.	CAPITAL EXPENSES	·	
	Debt Service	•	•
	Land/Property Acquisition		
	Vehicles	23,187	
	Construction		
	Other Radios	765	720
	TOTAL.	23,952	40,179

^{*}Please circle either "actual" or "estimate", as appropriate.

^{**}For purchase of three new gasoline powered vehicles. See page 13.

OPERATIONAL INFORMATION*

		Actual FY 1983-84	Estimated FY 1984-85	Actual FY 1985-86
1.	Patronage *			
	a. Total Passengers	61,755	58,869	70,480
	b. Revenue Passengers	1,877	1,045	2,745
	c. Youth Passengers			
	d. Elderly Passengers	59,878	57,824	65,854
	e. Handicapped Passenger	s		
2.	Vehicle Miles **			
	a. Total Vehicle Miles	106,140	107,869	107,971
	b. Revenue Vehicle Miles	106,140	107,869	107,971
3.	Revenue Vehicle Hours	9,448	9,342	9,042
4.	Revenue Vehicle Fuel Consumption			
	a. Diesel	8,104	8,194	5,437
	b. Gasoline			3,497
	c. Liquid Natural Compre	ssed		
5.	Fare Structure			
	a. Base	2.00	2.00	1.00
	b. Zone			***************************************
	c. Youth			
	d. Senior	.50	.50	.50
	e. Handicapped	.50	.50	.50
	f. Monthly Pass			
	g. Other			
	h. Average Fare	. 54	.54	.55

*There are no County passengers included in these totals.

**Includes miles for County service. All other figures reflect City service only.

*Attach additional pages as necessary to alter or complete description

THREE YEAR FISCAL PLAN

		1986-87	1987-88	1988-89
Operating	Expenses	\$ 213,355	\$ 219,755	\$ 226,350
Operating	Revenues			
Sources:	LTF	\$ 170,050	\$ 175,130	\$ 180,410
	STA			
	Federal			
	Fares	36,550	37,645	38,775
	General Fund			
	Other	6,755	6,980	7,165
Total		\$ 213,355	\$ 219,755	\$ _226,350
Capital Ex	penses	\$ 27,000	\$	\$
Capital Re	venue			
Sources:	LTF	\$ 27,000	\$	\$
	STA			
	Federal			
	Other		. Annual contract of the contr	*
Total		\$ 27,000	\$	\$

FLEET INVENTORY (Article 4 Operator Only)

	Production	# of		Seat	Spe	cial		tures
Make & Model	Year	Veh.	Type	Capacity	AC	EP	WC	Other
1982 Chev. Station Wagon	1982	2	Diesel	6	X			
1985 Chev. Station Wagon	1985	5	Gasoline	6	X			
1	1					1		
	ļ							
Apple								
1								
1						 	 	
1 1 1]							
1								
							<u> </u> 	
TOTAL	XXXXXXXXXX		XXXXX		 	İ	 	

Vehicles to be Purchased in FY 1986-87 **

T	_	1006	2	Gasoline	4	٧		
-	Station Wagon	1986	2	bason ne		^		
- 1						:		
1	1			<u> </u>			_	

AC = Air Conditioned

EP = Environmental Package

WC = Wheelchair Lift

**It may be feasible to purchase and install two new gasoline engines rather than purchas two entirely new vehicles; 1986-87 TDA

Claim will address this.

	iv.	Has this system been in non-compliance with its required local support ratio? No
		If yes, identify the year or years:
3.	Extensi	on of Service
		ension or new service is exempt from the required and local support ratios if:
		extension of service has been in operation for less n two years at the end of the fiscal year.
	exc	claimant's operating cost for the fiscal year, after luding the operating cost of the extension of service, eeds its operating cost for the prior fiscal year.
		claimant submits a report on the extension of service COG. (For details of the report, see 6633.8(c)).
	i.	Is this a new service?
	ii.	Is there an extension of service being claimed?
		If so, please identify the extension of service:
4.	Fifteen	Percent Expenditure Increase
	more the	of the line items on the attached budget exceed by nan 15% the expenditure for that same item in the syear's budget, then an explanation for that increase attached.

5. Narrative Description

Describe any changes in service characteristics from the previous fiscal year. Please attach an additional page if necessary.

One additional vehicle was purchased in 1984-85, Saturday service was initiated and fares lowered for non-elderly and non-handicapped persons. These were all accomplished late in the fiscal year so it is impossible to ascertain the affect of service.

A replacement vehicle is included in this year's budget to continue the replacement of diesel-powered vehicles to gasoline.

Outdated; will be updated for 1986-87 TDA Claim

Article 8 Contractor TDA Requirements

For contracted transportation service providers, the San Joaquin County Council of Governments' Executive Board has waived the farebox and local support ratios as it is empowered to do and established a two-step process.

1. Match Requirement

, ;

To receive the same amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) that a service received in the previous year, no more than 90% of the operating funds (minus depreciation) in the budget may be TDA derived. The ten percent or more matching funds may come from any other source available to the community as long as it is not TDA.

2. Operating Cost Per Passenger Objective

To receive an amount of TDA funds (LTF and STA combined) in excess of what was claimed the previous fiscal year, the claimant must establish a specific service objective for the fiscal year of the claim. This specific objective must be the operating cost per passenger for the fiscal year of the claim. The objective should be a realistic one based on current and past system performance, but should be low enough to represent an "improvement" when warranted. The COG Executive Board will adopt the operating cost per passenger figure that a claimant must meet in the fiscal year of the claim.

If the system failed to meet its operating cost per passenger objective in the fiscal year prior to the claim, then it would only be eligible to file a claim for the level of TDA funding received in that fiscal year. If a system wishes to be eligible for increased TDA funding in a future fiscal year, then it should identify an operating cost per passenger objective.

- i. What was the level of TDA funding received in the
 previous fiscal year for this system (LTF and STA)?
 \$______
- ii. Does the attached budget information demonstrate at least a 10% match of non-TDA funds?
- iii. Is this claim requesting more TDA funds then were received in the previous fiscal year? If yes, how much more?

	Obje	t was last year's Operating Cost ective? ual Operating Cost per Passenger	What was the
	a.	FY 1984-85 Operating Cost	\$
	ь.	Total Passengers	
	c.	Operating Cost Per Passenger (a ÷ b)	\$
		t is the Operating Cost per Pass this claim?	senger Objective
	đ.	Budgeted Operating Cost	\$
	е.	Estimated Total Passengers	
	f.	Operating Cost per Passenger (d ÷ e)	\$
	g.	FY 1985-86 OPERATING COST PER PASSENGER OBJECTIVE	\$
3. Fift	een Perc	ent Expenditure Increase	
more prev	than 1	he line items on the attached 5% the expenditure for that ar's budget, then an explanation ched.	same item in the
4. Narr	ative De	scription	
prev		y changes in service characte cal year. Please attach an ac	

·* . . .

PART II - PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE PROJECTS

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

•		LTF Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
*Ham Lane Street Improvements	Lodi Avenue to Elm Street	\$38,565
#Work in progress		

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

		STA Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
		1
		1
		•
	•	f 1
		1 #
		:
		? {
i		₹ 1
i		
i		·
i		ţ

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

		LTF Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
Miscellaneous Widening		\$ 50,000
* Miscellaneous Curb & Gutters		70,000
R.R. Grade Crossing Protection @ SPPR Turner Road @ Mills Cherokee Lane Washington Street		15,000 10,000 14,000
Traffic Signals Lodi Ave. & Church St. Hutchins & Tokay Miscellaneous Locations		100,000 40,000 80,000
RR Xing Approach Improvements Tokay Street Locust Street Loma Drive		30,000 47,000 6,000
Stockton Street Improvements	Vine to Tokay	262,000
Century Blvd/WID Box Culvert & Crossing		100,000
* Hutchins Street Improvements	Rimby to Vine Tokay to Lodi R/W	58,000 15,000
* Cherokee Lane Improvements * Pavement Management System Street Maintenance Administration/Planning	Lockeford to S/Murray	75,000 5,000 128,000 2,000
	(Use Additional F	age if Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
. <i>.</i>		
	- 19 -(Use Additional	Page if Necessary)

PART III - ROAD AND STREET PROJECTS

Please provide the requested information for each project being identified for Transportation Development Act funding.

LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND

		LTF Cost
Project Title and Description	Project Limits	Total Cost
Miscellaneous Asphalt Overlays Projects - 1986		\$ 175,000
Turner Rd. Curb, Gutter & Street Replacement @ Lodi Lake		11,000
Ham Lane Median	Century/Kettleman	. 34,000
Lockeford Street	Pleasant to Sacramento Sacramento to Cherokee	204,000 53,000
Tokay Street	SPRR to Cherokee	271,000
California Street, Lockeford to Turner		66,000
	TOTAL	<u>\$ 934.988</u>
		\$1,926,000
	(Use Additional Page if	Necessary)

STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND

Project Title and Description	Project Limits	STA Cost Total Cost
		1
	(Use Additional page if	 Necessary)

PART IV - OTHER PURPOSES

It is possible that a claimant may wish to expend TDA funds for purposes allowed within the Act, but not covered by the three previous parts. For instance, TDA funds may be claimed to subsidize AMTRAK service in a community. To complete this section, please identify the project, the purpose of the project, the estimated cost, and the fund from which money is being claimed. It is advisable to communicate with COG staff before completing this section.